

10-2-00

A

Please type a plus sign (+) inside this box → ☐

Approved for use through 09/30/2000. OMB 0651-0032
Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

UTILITY PATENT APPLICATION TRANSMITTAL (Only for new nonprovisional applications under 37 C.F.R. § 1.53(b))	Attorney Docket No.	1662-28700 (P99-2774)
	First Inventor or Application Identifier	Rabah HAMDI
	Title	Method And System For Adaptive Power Control . . . System
	Express Mail Label No.	EL705960658US

APPLICATION ELEMENTS See MPEP chapter 600 concerning utility patent application contents.	ADDRESS TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents Box Patent Application Washington, DC 20231
1. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> * Fee Transmittal Form (e.g., PTO/SB/17) (Submit an original and a duplicate for fee processing) 2. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Specification [Total Pages 24] (preferred arrangement set forth below) - Descriptive title of the invention (plus cover sheet) - Cross References to Related Applications - Statement Regarding Fed sponsored R & D - Reference to Microfiche Appendix - Background of the Invention - Brief Summary of the Invention - Brief Description of the Drawings (if filed) - Detailed Description - Claim(s) - Abstract of the Disclosure 3. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Drawing(s) (35 U.S.C. 113) [Total Sheets 6] 4. Oath or Declaration [Total Pages 1] a. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Newly executed (original or copy) b. <input type="checkbox"/> Copy from a prior application (37 C.F.R. § 1.63(d)) (for continuation/divisional with Box 16 completed) i. <input type="checkbox"/> DELETION OF INVENTOR(S) Signed statement attached deleting inventor(s) named in the prior application, see 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.63(d)(2) and 1.33(b).	5. <input type="checkbox"/> Microfiche Computer Program (Appendix) 6. Nucleotide and/or Amino Acid Sequence Submission (if applicable, all necessary) a. <input type="checkbox"/> Computer Readable Copy b. <input type="checkbox"/> Paper Copy (identical to computer copy) c. <input type="checkbox"/> Statement verifying identity of above copies
ACCOMPANYING APPLICATION PARTS	
7. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Assignment Papers (cover sheet & document(s)) 8. <input type="checkbox"/> 37 C.F.R. § 3.73(b) Statement (when there is an assignee) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Power of Attorney 9. <input type="checkbox"/> English Translation Document (if applicable) 10. <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement (IDS)/PTO-1449 <input type="checkbox"/> Copies of IDS Citations 11. <input type="checkbox"/> Preliminary Amendment 12. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Return Receipt Postcard (MPEP 503) (Should be specifically itemized) 13. <input type="checkbox"/> * Small Entity Statement(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Statement filed in prior application, Status still proper and desired (PTO/SB/09-12) 14. <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Copy of Priority Document(s) (if foreign priority is claimed) 15. <input type="checkbox"/> Other:	

* NOTE FOR ITEMS 1 & 13: IN ORDER TO BE ENTITLED TO PAY SMALL ENTITY FEES, A SMALL ENTITY STATEMENT IS REQUIRED (37 C.F.R. § 1.27), EXCEPT IF ONE FILED IN A PRIOR APPLICATION IS RELIED UPON (37 C.F.R. § 1.28).

16. If a CONTINUING APPLICATION, check appropriate box, and supply the requisite information below and in a preliminary amendment

☐ Continuation ☐ Divisional ☐ Continuation-in-part (CIP) of prior application No. _____

Prior application information: Examiner _____ Group / Art Unit _____

For CONTINUATION or DIVISIONAL APPS only: The entire disclosure of the prior application, from which an oath or declaration is supplied under Box 4b, is considered a part of the disclosure of the accompanying continuation or divisional application and is hereby incorporated by reference. The incorporation can only be relied upon when a portion has been inadvertently omitted from the submitted application parts.

17. CORRESPONDENCE ADDRESS

☐ Customer Number or Bar Code Label 23505 or ☒ Correspondence address below
(Insert Customer No. or Attach bar code label here)

Name	Jonathan M. Harris		
	Conley, Rose & Tayon, P.C.		
Address	PO Box 3267		
City	Houston	State	TX
Zip Code	77253-3267		
Country	USA	Telephone	713-238-8000
		Fax	713-238-8008

Name (Print/Type)	Michael F. Heim	Registration No. (Attorney/Agent)	32,702
Signature	<i>Michael F. Heim</i>	Date	September 29, 2000

Burden Hour Statement. This form is estimated to take 0.2 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Box Patent Application, Washington, DC 20231.

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number

FEE TRANSMITTAL
for FY 2000Patent fees are subject to annual revision.
Small Entity payments must be supported by a small entity statement,
otherwise large entity fees must be paid. See Forms PTO/SB/09-12.
See 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.27 and 1.28.**TOTAL AMOUNT OF PAYMENT** (\$) **784.00****Complete if Known**

Application Number	NOT YET ASSIGNED
Filing Date	CONCURRENTLY HEREWITH
First Named Inventor	Rabah HAMDI
Examiner Name	UNKNOWN
Group / Art Unit	UNKNOWN
Attorney Docket No	1662-28700 (P99-2774)

METHOD OF PAYMENT (check one)

- 1.
- ☒
- The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge indicated fees and credit any overpayments to:

Deposit Account Number **03-2630**Deposit Account Name **Compaq Computer Corporation**

- ☒
- Charge Any Additional Fee Required
-
- Under 37 CFR §§ 1.16 and 1.17

- 2.
- ☐
- Payment Enclosed:
-
- ☐
- Check
- ☐
- Money Order
- ☐
- Other

FEE CALCULATION**1. BASIC FILING FEE**

Large Entity Fee Code (\$)	Small Entity Fee Code (\$)	Fee Description	Fee Paid
101 690	201 345	Utility filing fee	690.00
106 310	206 155	Design filing fee	
107 480	207 240	Plant filing fee	
108 690	208 345	Reissue filing fee	
114 150	214 75	Provisional filing fee	

SUBTOTAL (1) (\$) **690.00****2. EXTRA CLAIM FEES**

Total Claims	Extra Claims	Fee from below	Fee Paid
23	-20** = 3	18.00	54.00
Independent Claims	3 - 3** = 0	78.00	-0-
Multiple Dependent			

**or number previously paid, if greater; For Reissues, see below

Large Entity Fee Code (\$)	Small Entity Fee Code (\$)	Fee Description	Fee Paid
103 18	203 9	Claims in excess of 20	
102 78	202 39	Independent claims in excess of 3	
104 260	204 130	Multiple dependent claim, if not paid	
109 78	209 39	** Reissue independent claims over original patent	
110 18	210 9	** Reissue claims in excess of 20 and over original patent	

SUBTOTAL (2) (\$) **54.00****FEE CALCULATION** (continued)**3. ADDITIONAL FEES**

Large Entity Fee Code (\$)	Small Entity Fee Code (\$)	Fee Description	Fee Paid
105 130	205 65	Surcharge - late filing fee or oath	
127 50	227 25	Surcharge - late provisional filing fee or cover sheet.	
139 130	139 130	Non-English specification	
147 2,520	147 2,520	For filing a request for reexamination	
112 920*	112 920*	Requesting publication of SIR prior to Examiner action	
113 1,840*	113 1,840*	Requesting publication of SIR after Examiner action	
115 110	215 55	Extension for reply within first month	
116 380	216 190	Extension for reply within second month	
117 870	217 435	Extension for reply within third month	
118 1,360	218 680	Extension for reply within fourth month	
128 1,850	228 925	Extension for reply within fifth month	
119 300	219 150	Notice of Appeal	
120 300	220 150	Filing a brief in support of an appeal	
121 260	221 130	Request for oral hearing	
138 1,510	138 1,510	Petition to institute a public use proceeding	
140 110	240 55	Petition to revive - unavoidable	
141 1,210	241 605	Petition to revive - unintentional	
142 1,210	242 605	Utility issue fee (or reissue)	
143 430	243 215	Design issue fee	
144 580	244 290	Plant issue fee	
122 130	122 130	Petitions to the Commissioner	
123 50	123 50	Petitions related to provisional applications	
126 240	126 240	Submission of Information Disclosure Stmt	
581 40	581 40	Recording each patent assignment per property (times number of properties)	40.00
146 690	246 345	Filing a submission after final rejection (37 CFR § 1.129(a))	
149 690	249 345	For each additional invention to be examined (37 CFR § 1.129(b))	
Other fee (specify) _____			
Other fee (specify) _____			
SUBTOTAL (3) (\$) 40.00			

* Reduced by Basic Filing Fee Paid

SUBMITTED BY

Name (Print/Type)	Michael F. Heim	Registration No. (Attorney/Agent)	32,702	Telephone	(713) 238-8000
Signature	<i>Michael F. Heim</i>	Date	September 29, 2000		

WARNING:

Information on this form may become public. Credit card information should not be included on this form. Provide credit card information and authorization on PTO-2038.

Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 0.2 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231.

Method and System for Adaptive Power Control in a Networking System

Rabah Hamdi
12018-B Mighty Oak Dr.
Houston, Texas 77066

Citizenship: U.S.A.

Method and System for Adaptive Power Control in a Networking System

5

CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS

Not applicable.

STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT

10

Not applicable.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

Field of the Invention

15
20
25
30
35
40
45
50
55
60
65
70
75
80
85
90
95
100
105
110
115
120
125
130
135
140
145
150
155
160
165
170
175
180
185
190
195
200
205
210
215
220
225
230
235
240
245
250
255
260
265
270
275
280
285
290
295
300
305
310
315
320
325
330
335
340
345
350
355
360
365
370
375
380
385
390
395
400
405
410
415
420
425
430
435
440
445
450
455
460
465
470
475
480
485
490
495
500
505
510
515
520
525
530
535
540
545
550
555
560
565
570
575
580
585
590
595
600
605
610
615
620
625
630
635
640
645
650
655
660
665
670
675
680
685
690
695
700
705
710
715
720
725
730
735
740
745
750
755
760
765
770
775
780
785
790
795
800
805
810
815
820
825
830
835
840
845
850
855
860
865
870
875
880
885
890
895
900
905
910
915
920
925
930
935
940
945
950
955
960
965
970
975
980
985
990
995

20

The present invention generally relates to a method and system for reducing noise and interference between electronic communication devices that utilize the same transmission media for communications. More particularly, the invention relates to performing adaptive power control (“APC”) to optimize the transmit power of each communication device in a networking system in order to reduce interference between the network devices and other communications services coupled to the same transmission media, such as interference between broadband services such as xDSL, voice band telephone service, and home networking systems all sharing the same customer premises telephone wiring.

Background of the Invention

25

In response to the increasing demand for communications services available to the home user, an increasing number of sophisticated and complex communication devices/systems are being coupled to customer premises telephone wiring. These devices include fax machines,

telephones, computers, computer networks, xDSL devices, satellite movie ordering systems, etc. Yet, customer premises telephone wiring is relatively unsophisticated, typically comprising a simple twisted wire pair. As the number of communication devices attached to the same wire pair increases, the potential for cross-talk, noise or interference between the devices increases.

5 As one might expect, deployment of xDSL systems and home networking systems that utilize telephone wiring for communications are facing many challenges. One of the most significant challenges is the increasing potential for interference from one service to another since the services may exist on the same wire pairs in the same home or in the same local loop or telephone binder group. These services may have cross-talk interference from one service onto the other which may not only directly degrade performance of those services but may also impact the performance of the other services on the telephone wiring, for example the underlying POTS (plain old telephone service).

Coexistence of broadband services such as xDSL and home networking systems, along with other services utilizing telephone wiring, is essential to the success of these technologies in the marketplace. To assist in the coexistence of these technologies, the present invention is directed to a method and system to lower the potential interference caused by home networking systems.

BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

20 In accordance with the present invention, there is provided a novel method and system for reducing the potential for interference between services utilizing customer premises telephone wiring for communications. This is accomplished by utilizing adaptive power control ("APC") to optimize transmit power between devices or nodes on the computer network. Specifically,

instead of simply transmitting communications within the network at some predetermined power level which would ensure reliable communication for all applications, that is, setting the power level high enough to handle the worst case scenario, the nodes of the network are equipped with control logic to intelligently determine the proper power level necessary to effectively communicate, which for most circumstances is something less than the worst-case or maximum power level setting. By adaptively transmitting communications between nodes of the network at the minimum required power necessary to ensure reliable communication, the potential for interference with other services on the same transmission media is minimized.

An embodiment of the inventive method of adaptive power control in a computer network having a plurality of nodes coupled to a common transmission media, comprises the steps of: performing collision detection at the transmission node and waiting until there are no communications on the transmission media of the network; sending a training packet from the transmission node of the network to a receiving node in the network at a predetermined power level; determining the preferred power level for reliable communications between the transmission node and the receiving node; sending a configuration packet from said receiving node to said transmission node including the preferred power level for communication; and sending a primary data communication from the transmission node to the receiving node at the preferred power level.

In the inventive method the step of determining the preferred power level for reliable communications between the transmission node and the receiving node may comprise the steps of: determining the average noise level on the transmission media of the network; determining the signal level necessary at the receiving node given the average noise level and required signal-to-noise ratio for reliable communication to the receiving node; determining the amount of attenuation suffered by the training packet between the transmission node and the receiving node;

and determining the proper transmit level by summing the signal level necessary at the receiving node and the amount of attenuation.

An embodiment of the inventive system for performing adaptive power control of communications between a transmission node and a receiving node in a network, comprises: a line interface coupled to the transmission media of the network; a receiver operating in a receiving node of the network, comprising: receiver signal monitoring logic coupled to said line interface to monitor the status of the transmission media of the network; receiver signal processing logic coupled to said line interface to receive and extract data from transmissions on the transmission media; receiver control logic coupled to said receiver signal monitoring logic and said receiver signal processing logic, where the receiver control logic determines the preferred power level for transmissions received from a transmission node in the network from the noise level on the transmission media, the attenuation between the receiving node and the transmission node, and the required signal to noise ratio for reliable communication with the receiving node.

Additionally, an embodiment of the system for performing adaptive power control of communications between nodes in a network, may comprise: a line interface coupled to the transmission media of the network; a transmitter operating in a transmission node of the network, comprising: transmitter signal processing logic coupled to said line interface to send transmissions to the transmission media; transmitter control logic coupled to said signal processing logic, where the transmitter control logic directs the transmitter signal processing logic to send a training packet to the receiving node at a predetermined power level, waits for a configuration packet from the receiving node, and then directs the transmitter signal processing logic to send a primary data transmission to the receiving node at a preferred power level.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

The invention can be more fully understood by referencing the accompanying drawings wherein:

Fig. 1 shows a block diagram of the typical architecture for the communications services for which the present invention is intended;

Fig. 2 shows a plot of the typical frequencies at which some of the communication services operate;

Fig. 3 shows a flow chart outlining the steps of an embodiment of the method of adaptive power control in accordance with the present invention;

Fig. 4 shows a block diagram of an embodiment of the method or process used by the receiving node to determine the optimum power level for communications from a transmission node in accordance with the present invention;

Fig. 5 shows a block diagram of an embodiment of a receiver operating in a receiving node in accordance with the present invention; and

Fig. 6 shows a block diagram of an embodiment of a transmitter operating in a transmission node of a network in accordance with the present invention.

NOTATION AND NOMENCLATURE

Certain terms are used throughout the following description and claims to refer to particular system components. As one skilled in the art will appreciate, components may be referred to by different names. This document does not intend to distinguish between components that differ in name but not function. In the following discussion and in the claims, the terms “including” and “comprising” are used in an open-ended fashion, and thus should be interpreted to mean

“including, but not limited to...”. Also, the term “couple” or “couples” is intended to mean either an indirect or direct electrical connection. Thus, if a first device couples to a second device, that connection may be through a direct electrical connection, or through an indirect electrical connection via other devices and connections.

5

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

Referring now to the drawings, wherein like reference characters denote corresponding components or parts:

Figure 1 shows a block diagram of the typical architecture for the communications services/devices and common transmission media for which the present invention is intended. In particular, a local loop 10 provides standard telephone service to several remote user locations, which could be residential or commercial locations but which will be referred to collectively herein as homes 12. Typically, each home 12 has a twisted wire pair 14 connecting it to the local loop 10, and then the local loop 10 is connected to a central office (“CO”) (not shown) for the telephone service in that area. A single local loop 10 may provide connection to multiple homes 12.

In addition to supplying Plain Old Telephone Service (“POTS”) to the homes 12, the in-premises telephone wiring 14 may also be used for various other communication services such as any Digital Subscriber Line (“xDSL”) services, any home networking systems such as Home Phoneline Networking Alliance (“HPNA”) systems or Phoneline Network Devices (“PND”) systems, etc. As shown in Fig. 1, although differing communication services and devices are installed in each home 12, the communication devices are coupled to the common telephone wiring 14 in each home 12. Thus, the communication devices share the same transmission media

or communication channel, i.e., the telephone wiring 14. Obviously, for each home 12 the specific configuration of the communications devices and services may differ.

In a first home 16, the twisted wire pair 14 first enters a Network Interface Device ("NID") 20. The NID 20 provides an interface between the local loop 10 and the communication devices and services installed in the home 16. In the first home 16 shown in Fig. 1, six devices are connected to the NID 20 via the common telephone wiring 14: a standard telephone or POTS device 17, a Personal Computer ("PC") coupled to the telephone wiring via a modem 18 (perhaps for xDSL services), and four PCs networked together over the telephone wiring using HPNA network cards 19. As shown, POTS, xDSL and home network communications would all occur via the same transmission media 14 inside the first home 16, that is, the telephone wiring 14. Since all of the devices are using the same communication channel or wiring infrastructure, there is a serious risk of cross-talk, noise and/or interference between the communications of the various services. By reducing the power levels of the signals sent on the common communication channel, the potential for cross-talk or other interference is reduced.

Control logic on the network interface cards, HPNA cards as shown in Fig. 1, can be used to dynamically control the transmission power levels between nodes in the network so that intra-network communications can be sent at the minimum power levels required to ensure reliable communications while minimizing the potential for interference to other services using the common communication channel. Instead of sending all transmissions at a maximum power level sufficient for worst-case conditions, the control logic allows the power level to be dynamically controlled so the optimum power level is used. The type of transmission media (telephone wiring here), the distance between network nodes, and the topology of the premises wiring all affect the

power level required for reliable communications. Having adaptive power control allows the network to adapt or adjust to each unique application or environment.

Another known way to combat the potential interference between the communication devices is to separate the communication channels or transmission media 14 in the home. In a second home 22, also connected to the same local loop 10, the telephone wiring 14 is split by the NID 20 creating separate communication channels or in-premises wiring 24 for the xDSL services/devices from the HPNA networked PCs. Of course, the POTS services could similarly be separated by additional splitting in the NID 20. By implementing isolation circuitry in the NID 20, such as filtering etc., the cross-talk between the services and their now separate communication channels 24 in the home 16 can be reduced. The cost and complexity associated with purchasing, installing and maintaining such separation and isolation circuitry often precludes residential users from utilizing this solution however. And, even with such separation and isolation circuitry, some interference between the communication services may still occur since the services and devices are all still coupled via the NID 20 and local loop 10. In fact, given the connection to the local loop, some communications within a home 12 can leak into the local loop 10 and thereby affect communications in other homes 12. The present invention provides a cost-effective method of reducing interference caused by communications in a home network whether or not isolation and separation circuitry are used.

Figure 2 shows a plot of the typical frequencies at which some of the communication services operate. In particular, Fig. 2a shows that POTS signals typically operate in the frequency range of 0 to 4 kHz (kilohertz) while xDSL communications operate in a frequency range of approximately 25 kHz to 10 MHz (megahertz). Thus, there is no overlap in the standard frequency bands for POTS and xDSL communications. Although there is no frequency overlap, there is still

the potential for the communications to interfere with one another given the possibility for a modulated and attenuated out of band signal to interfere within the operational frequency range of the services. This noise or interference can be reduced by lowering the power level of the communications or transmissions of the services. Accordingly, the adaptive power control of the present invention can help reduce interference even when the services typically operate in distinct frequency ranges.

Fig. 2b shows that communications in an HPNA home networking system occur in a frequency range of 4 MHz to 10 MHz. Thus, there is an overlap between the xDSL communications and the HPNA home networking communications. Where there is frequency overlap in the services' communications, it is more likely that the communications may directly interfere with one another reducing performance for both communication systems. Accordingly, the adaptive power control of the present invention is especially helpful to reduce the potential for interference between these services.

It should be noted that the differences in the frequency range in which the communication services operate may allow for another method of reducing interference between the services. In particular, for those services whose communication frequencies do not overlap, such as xDSL and POTS services, a filtering system (low-pass filter and/or high-pass filter) to selectively pass certain frequencies to the devices in each service, could be used to isolate each service's communications from one another. Although using filters to isolate the services is known, and is in fact used in some commercial applications, the addition of filtering components and equipment is rarely used in home applications because of the additional cost, maintenance and operational logistics. Accordingly, the adaptive power control of the present invention can be used to reduce interference where isolation via filtering is either not effective due to the overlapping frequency ranges of the

communication services, where isolation proves to be inadequate to reduce interference, or where isolation is not economically or physically feasible such as in a home application.

Figure 3 shows a flow chart outlining the steps of an embodiment of the method of adaptive power control in accordance with the present invention. In particular, Fig. 3 illustrates the method of adaptive power control undertaken by a node in a home network seeking to transmit data (a “transmission node”) to another node in the network (a “receiving node” or “destination node”). The method is typically performed by control logic in the network interface circuitry or on the network card. The process starts at block 301 when a node in the network seeks to transmit data to another node in the network. As noted in block 302, when a communication is desired between two devices or nodes in the network, the transmission node first performs collision detection, meaning it checks to see if any other communications are occurring on the transmission media of the network. As indicated in block 303, if communications or transmissions are occurring, the collision detection is repeated and the process returns to block 302. When no other communications or transmissions are occurring, i.e., the line is quiet, the process continues to block 304 and the transmission node sends a training packet to the receiving node. The training packet is sent at a predetermined power level as set in the network protocol, usually a worst-case or full power level. In home networks, full-power for the network devices is typically set at a level sufficient to send transmissions over 300 feet of telephone wire as a worst-case scenario. Because the training packet is much smaller than a typical data transmission communication, the full power transmission of the training packet is less likely to cause any significant interference than would a full power data transmission of greater duration.

When a training packet is sent, all other nodes of the network remain silent (i.e., do not perform any communications) until the transmission is complete since each node performs

collision detection before starting a transmission. Once the intended receiving or destination node receives the training packet, the receiving node determines the minimum power level required for reliable communication between the transmitting node and the receiving node as noted in block 305. Specifically, the receiving node compares the signal strength of the training packet, as received at the receiving node, to the predetermined full power signal strength at which the training packet was sent in order to determine the loss or attenuation incurred in the transmission. Given this expected attenuation from the transmission node to the receiving node, the receiving node determines the minimum transmission power level required to ensure that a transmission from the transmitting node arrives at the receiving node with a sufficient signal to noise ratio for reliable communications. This process of determining the minimum power level required is further explained in relation to Fig. 4 below.

As shown in block 306, once the minimum or preferred power level is determined, the receiving node then sends back a configuration packet to the transmission node acknowledging that it received the training packet and including the proper transmission configuration information; that is, the preferred power level required to ensure reliable data transmission, as determined from the signal loss experienced during the training packet transmission. In block 307, the transmission node then reads this transmission configuration information and sends the data transmission to the receiving node at the preferred power level indicated. If the data transmission is received successfully, the receiving node sends an acknowledge signal ("ACK signal") to the transmission node, as noted in block 308. As indicated in block 309, if the ACK signal is not received by the transmission node, the data transmission was not received and the process returns to block 304 where the transmission node "re-trains" by re-sending a training packet and following the rest of the process. If the ACK signal is received by the transmission node, the communication was

successful and the process is complete, ending at block 310. Once complete, other nodes may now use the transmission media of the network to perform other communications or transmissions using this same process.

Figure 4 shows a block diagram of the method or process used by the receiving node to adaptively control the power of transmissions between two nodes in a network. More specifically, Fig. 4 shows a block diagram of the process used by the receiving node to determine the minimum power level required for reliable communication from the transmission node to the receiving node. The receiving node has control logic to perform this process, typically incorporated into the network card at the receiving node.

As shown in Fig. 4, the process starts at block 400. Via an interface to the transmission media, the receiving node monitors the transmission media in block 401. In block 402, the receiving node checks to see if a signal is being received. If no signal is present, the line is said to be quiet having only noise on the line. As indicated in block 403, the receiving node performs analysis on the noise. In particular, the receiving node measures the average power level or signal strength of the noise. This analysis is performed so that the receiving node knows what the current noise floor is on the transmission media. Since the noise may change over time due to changes in the environment, etc., this analysis is done whenever the line is quiet, i.e., whenever no signal is on the transmission media.

When a signal is received, the receiving node checks to see if it is a training packet in block 404. If it is not a training packet, the receiving node waits for the signal to be completed or for the line to return to quiet in block 405 and then returns to block 401 to continue monitoring the transmission media for the next signal received. If the signal is a training packet, the receiving node checks in block 406 to see if the packet is intended for the receiving node; that is, whether the

receiving node is the target node for this packet. If the receiving node is not the target node, the receiving node waits for the signal to be completed or for the line to return to quiet in block 407 and then returns to block 401 to continue monitoring the transmission media for the next signal received. If the receiving node is the target node, the receiving node performs analysis on the received training packet in block 408. In particular, the receiving node measures the average power level or signal strength of the training packet as received at the receiving node. By comparing this power level to the power level at which the training packet was originally sent, the attenuation suffered by the training packet during the transmission can be determined in block 409. It is known that the training packet is originally sent at the predetermined maximum power level for the network. For example, if the maximum power level for the network is set to 20dB and the training packet is received at 12 dB, the receiving node will determine that there has been 8dB (20dB – 12dB) of loss or attenuation suffered in the transmission between the transmission node and the receiving node.

In block 410, the receiving node then determines the optimum power level setting for transmission of data from the transmission node to the receiving node. Specifically, using the attenuation determined via the training packet in block 409, the current noise floor or level determined in block 403, and the known desired signal-to-noise ratio needed by the receiving node, the receiving node determines the minimum power level at which the transmission node can reliably transmit data to the receiving node. For example, where the noise floor is 1dB, the attenuation is 8 dB, and the desired signal-to-noise ratio for reliable communications is 3 (or 3/1), the receiving node would determine the optimum (minimum) transmission power level required as follows: With 1 dB of noise, a 4 dB signal level is required at the receiving node to obtain a signal-to-noise ratio of 3. Since there is 8 dB of loss in the transmission media between the nodes,

the transmission node must transmit at 12dB for the receiving node to receive 4 dB. Thus, the minimum transmission power level would be 12 dB. Of course, some margin may be added to ensure reliable communications, i.e., the preferred power level may be set to 12+ dB.

Once the optimum or preferred transmission power level has been determined in block 410, this power level setting is sent to the transmission node in a configuration packet as noted in block 411. Once the transmission node receives the configuration information, it sends the data packet at the preferred power level. The data packet is received by the receiving node in block 412. Once the receiving node has received the entire data packet, it sends an acknowledgement to the transmission node to indicate the success (or failure) of the transmission in block 413. The receiving node then returns to monitoring the transmission media and the process continues at block 401. If the data transmission is successful, the communication is complete. If the data transmission is not successful, the transmission node resends a training packet to the receiving node and the same process would be performed by the receiving node to again determine the preferred level to transmit the primary data communication.

Fig. 5 shows a block diagram of an embodiment of a receiver operating in a receiving node of a network in accordance with the present invention. The receiver 50 is coupled to the common transmission media 14 of the network via a line interface 52. The line interface 52 is coupled to receiver signal monitoring logic 54 and receiver signal processing logic 56. Receiver control logic 58 is coupled to both the signal monitoring logic 54 and signal processing logic 56.

The signal monitoring logic 54 monitors the status of the transmission media 14 via line interface 52. When no signal is on the transmission media 14, i.e., the line is quiet, the signal monitoring logic 54 determines the average noise level or noise floor on the transmission media 14 as discussed above in reference to Fig. 4. This average noise level is communicated to control

logic 58. When a training packet is received on the transmission media, the signal monitoring logic 54 determines if the packet is intended for this receiving node, i.e., is the receiving node the intended target node. If the receiving node is the target node, the signal monitoring logic 54 determines the average power level or signal strength of the training packet as received. This average power level of the training packet is communicated to control logic 58. By comparing this power level to the known full power level at which the training packet was originally sent, the control logic 58 determines the attenuation suffered by the training packet between the receiving node and the transmission node. Using these determined noise level and attenuation values, as well as the signal-to-noise ratio necessary for reliable communication with the receiving node, the control logic 58 determines the optimum or preferred power level necessary for the transmission node to reliably communicate with the receiving node, as discussed in relation to Fig. 4. The control logic 58 then sends this preferred transmission power level to the transmitter of the receiving node for transmission in a configuration packet to the transmission node. Upon receipt of the configuration packet, the transmission node can then send the primary data communication back to the receiving node at the preferred power level.

When the primary data transmission or packet is received at the receiving node, the signal processing logic 56 of the receiver extracts the data from the transmission using standard DSP (digital signal processing) techniques. The signal processing logic 56 may include standard signal processing to perform filtering, equalizing, compensating, demodulation, and decoding as necessary given the network protocol and environment. Control logic 58 may be used to control certain parameters or aspects of the receiver functions in relation to the determined noise level and attenuation. Once the data is extracted, the data is passed to the remainder of the receiving node, for instance the host PC for a receiver on an HPNA network card.

Fig. 6 shows a block diagram of an embodiment of a transmitter operating in a transmission node of a network in accordance with the present invention. The transmitter 60 is coupled to the common transmission media 14 of the network via a line interface 62. Transmitter signal processing logic 66 is coupled to line interface 62. Transmitter control logic 68 is coupled to the
5 signal processing logic 66.

When a transmission is desired, data is sent to the transmitter 60 from the host PC or other system coupled to the transmitter 60. Before the transmission is sent, however, the transmitter 60 waits until there is no signal on the transmission media 14, i.e., the line is quiet. Signal monitoring logic in the receiver (not shown because not a part of the transmitter) monitors the transmission media 14. Once the line is quiet, the receiver notifies the transmitter control logic 68 that the
10 transmission can begin. The control logic 68 then directs the transmitter signal processing logic 66 to send a training packet to the desired receiving node via the line interface 62. The target receiving node uses the training packet to determine the current preferred power level for communications between the transmission node and the receiving node and returns this information to the transmission node in a configuration packet. Once a configuration packet is
15 received at the transmission node, the receiver passes the configuration information to the transmitter control logic 68. The control logic 68 uses the preferred power level information to adjust certain parameters and settings in the transmitter signal processing logic 66 so that the primary data transmission will be sent at the preferred power level. The signal processing logic 66
20 sends the primary data transmission at the preferred power level to the receiving node via the line interface 62 and transmission media 14. To complete the communication, the receiving node may return an acknowledgement signal to the transmission node to verify the success (or failure) of the communication. If a successful acknowledgement signal is received by the transmission node, the

transmission is complete. If not, the transmission node would resend a training packet and repeat the process until a successful data transmission or communication has occurred.

It should be recognized that each node in a network includes a receiver and transmitter in order to communicate with other nodes in the network. Thus, a receiving node and a transmission node will have both a receiver and a transmitter. The receiver will operate as described and shown in Fig. 5 when the node is functioning as a receiving node. The transmitter will operate as described and shown in Fig. 6 when the node is functioning as a transmission node. Therefore, Fig. 5 and Fig. 6 together provide a description of how the complete receiver/transmitter system operates at each node in the network, whether the node is operating as a receiving node or a transmission node.

The above discussion is meant to be illustrative of the principles and various embodiments of the present invention. While the invention has been particularly shown and described with respect to specific embodiments thereof, numerous variations and modifications will become apparent to those skilled in the art once the above disclosure is fully appreciated. It is intended that the following claims be interpreted to embrace all such variations and modifications.

CLAIMS

What is claimed is:

- 1 1. A method of adaptive power control in a computer network having a plurality of nodes
2 coupled to a common transmission media, comprising the steps of:
3 sending a training packet from the transmission node of the network to a receiving node in
4 the network at a predetermined power level;
5 determining the preferred power level for reliable communications between the
6 transmission node and the receiving node;
7 sending a configuration packet from said receiving node to said transmission node
8 including the preferred power level for communication; and
9 sending a primary data communication from the transmission node to the receiving node at
10 the preferred power level.
- 11 2. The method of claim 1 further comprising the step of performing collision detection at the
12 transmission node and waiting until there are no communications on the transmission media of the
13 network before sending the training packet.
- 14 3. The method of claim 1 further comprising the step of determining the average noise level
15 on the transmission media of the network.

1 4. The method of claim 1 wherein the step of determining the preferred power level for
2 reliable communications between the transmission node and the receiving node comprises the steps
3 of:

4 determining the average noise level on the transmission media of the network;

5 determining the signal level necessary at the receiving node given the average noise level
6 and required signal-to-noise ratio for reliable communication to the receiving node;

7 determining the amount of attenuation suffered by the training packet between the
8 transmission node and the receiving node; and

9 determining the proper transmit level by summing the signal level necessary at the
10 receiving node and the amount of attenuation.

1 5. The method of claim 4 wherein the step of determining the signal level necessary at the
2 receiving node given the average noise level and required signal-to-noise ratio for reliable
3 communication to the receiving node comprises the step of:

4 adding the average noise level to the signal-to-noise ratio to determine the signal level
5 necessary at the receiving node.

1 6. The method of claim 4 further comprising the step of adding a margin for error to the
2 proper transmit level.

1 7. The method of claim 4 wherein the step of determining the amount of attenuation suffered
2 by the training packet between the transmission node and the receiving node comprises the steps
3 of:

4 determining the average power level of the training packet as received at the receiving
5 node; and

6 comparing the power level of the training packet at the receiving node to the predetermined
7 power level at which the training packet was sent to determine the amount of attenuation.

1 8. The method of claim 1 wherein the training packet is sent at full power as predetermined
2 by network protocol.

1 9. The method of claim 1 wherein the preferred power level for communications between the
2 transmission node and the receiving node is the minimum power level for reliable
3 communications.

1 10. A system for performing adaptive power control of communications between a
2 transmission node and a receiving node in a network, comprising:

3 a line interface coupled to the transmission media of the network;

4 a receiver operating in a receiving node of the network, comprising:

5 receiver signal monitoring logic coupled to said line interface to monitor the status
6 of the transmission media of the network;

7 receiver signal processing logic coupled to said line interface to receive and extract
8 data from transmissions on the transmission media;

9 receiver control logic coupled to said receiver signal monitoring logic and said
10 receiver signal processing logic, where the receiver control logic determines the preferred
11 power level for transmissions received from a transmission node in the network.

1 11. The system of claim 10 wherein said receiver signal monitoring logic determines the
2 average noise level on the transmission media when the line is quiet and communicates this level to
3 the control logic.

1 12. The system of claim 10 wherein said receiver signal monitoring logic determines if a
2 training packet sent by the transmission node is intended for the receiving node.

1 13. The system of claim 12 wherein said receiver signal monitoring logic determines the
2 average power level of the training packet as received and communicates this level to the receiver
3 control logic.

1 14. The system of claim 10 wherein said receiver control logic determines the attenuation
2 between the receiving node and the transmission node.

1 15. The system of claim 13 wherein said receiver control logic determines the attenuation
2 between the receiving node and the transmission node by comparing the power level of the average
3 power level of the training packet as received to the predetermined power level at which the
4 training packet was originally sent.

1 16. The system of claim 10 wherein said receiver control logic determines the preferred power
2 level from the noise level on the transmission media, the attenuation between the receiving node
3 and the transmission node, and the required signal to noise ratio for reliable communication with
4 the receiving node.

1 17. The system of claim 10 wherein said receiver control logic sends the preferred power level
2 to the transmitter of the receiving node for transmission in a configuration packet to the
3 transmission node.

1 18. The system of claim 10 wherein said receiver control logic controls said receiver signal
2 processing logic to extract the data from a data transmission to the receiving node.

1 19. The system of claim 10 wherein the receiving node confirms success of communication
2 between the transmission node and the receiving node by sending an acknowledgment to the
3 transmission node.

1 20. A system for performing adaptive power control of communications between nodes in a
2 network, comprising:

3 a line interface coupled to the transmission media of the network;

4 a transmitter operating in a transmission node of the network, comprising:

5 transmitter signal processing logic coupled to said line interface to send
6 transmissions to the transmission media;

7 transmitter control logic coupled to said signal processing logic, where the
8 transmitter control logic directs the transmitter signal processing logic to send a training
9 packet to the receiving node at a predetermined power level, waits for a configuration
10 packet from the receiving node, and then directs the transmitter signal processing logic to
11 send a primary data transmission to the receiving node at a preferred power level.

12 21. The system of claim 20 wherein said transmitter control logic determines the preferred
13 power level for the primary data transmission from the configuration packet received back from
the receiving node in response to the training packet.

1 22. The system of claim 20 wherein said training packet is only sent once the transmission
2 media is quiet.

1 23. The system of claim 20 wherein said transmitter control logic 68 uses the preferred power
2 level information to adjust certain parameters and settings in the transmitter signal processing logic
3 66 so that the primary data transmission is sent at the preferred power level.

ABSTRACT

A method and system for adaptively controlling the power of transmissions between nodes in a networking system in order to reduce the potential for cross-talk interference or noise in other communications services coupled to the same transmission media. The inventive system provides a method for sending transmissions at the minimum power level needed in order to ensure reliable communications between nodes in the network thereby reducing potential interference with other systems using the same transmission media. For example, the inventive system and method can be utilized in home networking systems to reduce cross-talk between the network devices and xDSL or POTS devices coupled to the same telephone wiring.

FIGURE 1




FIGURE 2




FIG. 2a





FIG 2b

FIGURE 3







FIGURE 6



DECLARATION

**SOLE/JOINT INVENTOR
ORIGINAL/SUBSTITUTE/CIP**

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that: my residence, post office address, and citizenship are as stated below next to my name. I believe I am the original, first, and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or a joint inventor (if plural inventors are listed below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled: **"METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR ADAPTIVE POWER CONTROL IN A NETWORKING SYSTEM"**, as described in the specification attached.

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above identified specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendment referred to above; that I do not know and do not believe the same was ever known or used in the United States of America before my or our invention thereof, or patented or described in any printed publication in any country before my or our invention thereof or more than one year prior to this application; that the invention has not been patented or made the subject of an inventor's certificate issued before the date of this application in any country foreign to the United States of America on an application filed by me or my legal representative or assigns more than twelve months prior to this application; and that I acknowledge the duty to disclose information of which I am aware which is material to the examination of this application in accordance with Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations § 1.56(a). Such information is material when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made of record in the application, and


- (1) it establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim; or
- (2) it refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant has taken or may take in:
 - (i) opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or
 - (ii) asserting an argument of patentability.

I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under Title 35, United States Code § 119 of any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificates listed below and have also identified below any foreign application(s) having a filing date before that of the application(s) on which priority is claimed:

COUNTRY	APPLICATION NUMBER	DATE OF FILING	PRIORITY CLAIMED UNDER 35 USC 119
			<input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO

I hereby claim the benefit under Title 35 United States Code § 120 of any United States application(s) listed below and, insofar as any subject matter of any claim of this application is not disclosed in the prior United States Application, I acknowledge the duty to disclose material information as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations § 1.56(a) which occurred between the filing date of the prior application and the national PCT international filing date of this application:

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

FULL NAME OF SOLE OR FIRST INVENTOR	INVENTOR'S SIGNATURE	DATE
Rabah HAMDI		9/29/00
RESIDENCE		CITIZENSHIP
12018-B Mighty Oak Drive, Houston, Texas, 77066		U.S.A.
POST OFFICE ADDRESS		
SAME AS ABOVE		

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Rabah HAMDI §
Serial No.: Not Yet Assigned §
Filed: Concurrently Herewith §
For: Method And System For Adaptive §
Power Control In A Networking System §

POWER OF ATTORNEY BY ASSIGNEE

Under the provisions of 37 C.F.R. § 3.71, the undersigned assignee of record of the entire interest in the above-identified patent/patent application by virtue of an assignment recorded (check as applicable):

☒ Concurrently herewith
☐ Date Recorded
☐ Reel _____ Frame _____

I elects to conduct the prosecution of the application/maintenance of the patent to the exclusion of the inventor(s). The undersigned hereby declares that she has reviewed the above-referenced assignment and hereby declares that, to the best of her knowledge, title is in the Assignee, and she is empowered to sign on behalf of the Assignee, and further declares that all statements made herein of her own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true. The assignee hereby revokes any previous powers of attorney and appoints the following to prosecute this application/maintain this patent and transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith:

Kevin L. Daffer	34,146	Irene Kosturakis	33,724
Michael F. Heim	32,702	Keith Lutsch	31,851
David A. Rose	26,223	Joseph Arrambide	39,589
Marcella D. Watkins	36,962	Sarah T. Harris	35,891
Bruce Noël Kivlin	33,929	Richard P. Lange	27,296
Jonathan M. Harris	44,144	Theodore S. Park	26,971
Mark E. Scott	43,100	Lou Brucculeri	38,834
Robert C. Kowert	39,255	Jeffrey C. Hood	35,198

Please direct all communications to: Conley, Rose & Tayon, P. O. Box 3267, Houston, Texas 77253-3267, Telephone No. (713) 238-8000, to the attention of: **JONATHAN M. HARRIS.**

ASSIGNEE
COMPAQ COMPUTER CORPORATION

Date: 29 Sept. 2000

BY: _____

NAME: Diane H. Strong
TITLE: Administrator, Patents

Authorized To Sign This Document On Behalf Of
Compaq Computer Corporation
Pursuant To Board Of Directors Resolution
Date July 28, 1989